Radius Group Pension Scheme Implementation Statement Year Ending 5 April 2024

Glossary

| ESG | Environmental, Social and Governance | | |
|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Investment Adviser | First Actuarial LLP | | |
| LGIM | Legal & General Investment Management | | |
| Scheme | Radius Group Pension Scheme | | |
| Scheme Year | 6 April 2023 to 5 April 2024 | | |
| SIP | Statement of Investment Principles | | |
| UNPRI | United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment | | |

Introduction

This Implementation Statement reports on the extent to which, over the Scheme Year, the Trustee has followed their policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the Scheme's investments. In addition, the Implementation Statement summarises the voting behaviour of the Scheme's investment manager and includes details of the most significant votes cast and the use of the services of proxy voting advisers.

In preparing this statement, the Trustee has considered guidance from the Department for Work & Pensions which was updated on 17 June 2022.

Relevant Investments

The Scheme's assets are invested in pooled funds and some of those funds include an allocation to equities. Where equities are held, the investment manager has the entitlement to vote.

At the end of the Scheme Year, the Scheme invested in the following fund which included an allocation to equities:

LGIM Diversified Fund

The Trustee's Policy Relating to the Exercise of Rights

Summary of the Policy

The Trustee's policy in relation to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments is set out in the SIP. The SIP was updated during the Scheme year to reflect changes made to the Scheme's investment strategy, but wording relating to the exercise of rights was not revised. A summary of this wording is as follows:

- The Trustee believes that good stewardship can help create, and preserve, value for companies and markets as a whole.
- The Trustee invests in pooled investment vehicles and therefore accepts that ongoing engagement with the underlying companies (including the exercise of voting rights) will be determined by an investment manager own policies on such matters.
- When selecting a fund, the Trustee considers amongst other things, the investment manager's policy in relation to the exercise of the rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments held within the fund.
- When considering the ongoing suitability of an investment manager, the Trustee (in conjunction with its Investment Adviser) will take account of any particular characteristics of that manager's engagement policy that are deemed to be financially material.
- The Trustee will normally select investment managers who are signatories to the UN Principles for Responsible Investment (UNPRI).
- If it is identified that a fund's investment manager is not engaging with companies the Trustee may look to replace that fund. However, in the first instance, the Trustee would normally expect their Investment Adviser to raise the Trustee's concerns with the investment manager.

Has the Policy Been Followed During the Scheme Year?

The Trustee's opinion is that its policy relating to the exercise of rights (including voting rights) attaching to the investments has been followed during the Scheme Year. In reaching this conclusion, the following points were taken into consideration:

- There has been no change to the Trustee's belief regarding the importance of good stewardship.
- The Scheme's invested assets remained invested in pooled funds over the period.
- During the Scheme Year, the Trustee introduced an allocation to the LGIM Maturing Buy & Maintain Credit Fund range. The Trustee considered the ESG characteristics of the funds before selecting them but, because the fund does not include an allocation to equities, consideration of the exercise of voting rights was not relevant.
- During the Scheme Year, the Trustees considered the voting records of the investment manager for the previous Scheme year.
- Since the end of the Scheme Year, an updated analysis of the voting records of the investment manager based on the period ending 31 March 2024 has been undertaken as part of the work required to prepare this Implementation Statement. A summary of the key findings from that analysis is provided below.
- The investment manager used by the Scheme is signatories to the UNPRI.

^{*}Note the voting analysis was over the year ending 31 March 2024 because this was the most recent data available at the time of preparing this statement. The Trustee is satisfied that the analysis provides a fair representation of the investment manager voting approach over the Scheme Year.

The Investment Manager Voting record

A summary of the investment manager voting record is shown in the table below.

| Investment Manager | | Split of votes: | | | |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----|-------------------------|--|
| | Number of votes | For Against / withheld | | Did not vote/ abstained | |
| LGIM | 120,000 | 76% | 24% | 0% | |

Notes

These voting statistics are based on the manager's full voting record over the 12 months to 31 March 2024 rather than votes related solely to the funds held by the Scheme.

Use of Proxy Voting Advisers

| Investment Manager | Who is their proxy voting adviser? | How is the proxy voting adviser used? |
|--------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| LGIM | ISS and IVIS ISS and IVIS provide research and ISS administe However, all voting is determined by guidelines se | |

The Investment Manager Capital Voting Behaviour

The Trustee has reviewed the voting behaviour of the investment manager by considering the following:

- broad statistics of their voting record such as the percentage of votes cast for and against the recommendations of boards of directors (i.e. "with management" or "against management");
- the votes they cast in the year to 31 March 2024 on the most contested proposals in nine categories across the UK, the US and Europe;
- the investment manager policies and statements on the subjects of stewardship, corporate governance and voting.

The Trustee has also compared the voting behaviour of the investment manager with their peers over the same period.

Further details of the approach adopted by the Trustee for assessing voting behaviour are provided in the Appendix.

The Trustee's key observations are set out below.

Voting in Significant Votes

Based on information provided by the Trustee's Investment Adviser, the Trustee has identified significant votes in nine separate categories. The Trustee considers votes to be more significant if they are closely contested i.e. close to a 50:50 split for and against. A closely contested vote indicates that shareholders considered the matter to be significant enough that it should not be simply "waved through". In addition, in such a situation, the vote of an individual investment manager is likely to be more important in the context of the overall result.

The five most significant votes in each of the nine categories based on shares held by the Scheme's investment manager are listed in the Appendix. In addition, the Trustee considered the investment manager's overall voting record in significant votes (i.e. votes across all stocks not just the stocks held within the funds used by the Scheme).

Analysis of Voting Behaviour

The Trustee notes that LGIM's voting record continues to compare very favourably with its peers. As in previous years, analysis of LGIM's voting record identifies clear evidence that the manager is willing to vote against company directors on a broad range of issues.

LGIM opposed several climate-related proposals based on an assessment that proposals put forward by a company's management did not go far enough.

The Trustee has no concerns regarding LGIM's voting record.

| Based on the analysis undertaken, the Trustee has no concerns regarding the voting records of LGIM. |
|---|
| The Trustee will keep the voting actions of the investment manager under review. |
| |
| |
| |

...... Date:

Signed on behalf of the Trustee of the Radius Group Pension Scheme

Conclusion

Significant Votes

The table below records how the Scheme's investment manager voted in the most significant votes identified by the Trustee.

| Company | Meeting Date | Proposal | Votes For (%) | Votes Against (%) | LGIM |
|---|--------------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Audit & Reporting | | | | | |
| NETAPP INC | 13/09/2023 | Appoint the Auditors | 40 | 60 | Against |
| VEOLIA ENVIRONNEMENT SA | 27/04/2023 | Appoint the Auditors | 72 | 28 | Against |
| PETS AT HOME GROUP PLC | 06/07/2023 | Re-appoint KPMG LLP as auditor of the Company. | 78 | 22 | Against |
| SWISS LIFE HOLDING | 28/04/2023 | Appoint the Auditors | 78 | 21 | Against |
| DASSAULT SYSTEMES SE | 24/05/2023 | Appoint the Auditors | 82 | 18 | For |
| Shareholder Capital & Rights | | | | | |
| FERREXPO PLC | 25/05/2023 | Issue Shares with Pre-emption Rights | 34 | 64 | For |
| VIVENDI SA | 24/04/2023 | Authorise Share Repurchase of 50% of the Share Capital | 69 | 31 | Against |
| TTENDIST. | 21/01/2023 | | - 05 | 51 | |
| LINCOLN NATIONAL CORPORATION | 25/05/2023 | Issuance of Shares for Existing Incentive Plan | 70 | 30 | Against |
| JUPITER FUND MANAGEMENT PLC | 10/05/2023 | Issue Shares for Cash | 71 | 29 | For |
| PLUS500 LTD | 02/05/2023 | Approve Additional Allotment of Shares to Jacob Frenkel | 71 | 29 | For |
| Pay & Remuneration | | | | | |
| BIOGEN INC. | 26/06/2023 | Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation | 52 | 48 | Against |
| PEARSON PLC | 28/04/2023 | Approve Remuneration Policy | 54 | 46 | Against |
| AMERICAN EXPRESS COMPANY | 02/05/2023 | Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation | 53 | 45 | Against |
| ARGEN-X SE | 02/05/2023 | Approve the Remuneration Report | 44 | 55 | Against |
| UNILEVER PLC | 03/05/2023 | Approve the Remuneration Report | 40 | 55 | Against |
| | | | | | |
| Constitution of Company, Board & Advisers | 47/05/0000 | Amend Articles: Virtual Meetings | 50 | 40 | Amelica |
| SAMPO OYJ | 17/05/2023 | Elect Reuben S. Leibowitz - Non-Executive Director | 52 | 48 | Against |
| SIMON PROPERTY GROUP INC. | 04/05/2023 | | 53 56 | 46 | Against For |
| ZALANDO SE DARKTRACE PLC | 24/05/2023 07/12/2023 | Elect Kelly Bennett - Vice Chair (Non Executive) Elect Patrick Jacob - Non-Executive Director | 43 | 44 56 | |
| CUSTODIAN REIT PLC | 08/08/2023 | Re-elect Ian Thomas Mattioli - Non-Executive Director | 58 | 42 | Against Against |
| | 00/00/2023 | ne-elect lan monias watton - non-executive birector | 36 | 42 | Against |
| Merger, Acquisition, Sales & Finance | | | | | |
| BOUYGUES SA | 27/04/2023 | Approve Issuance of Debt Securities Giving Access to New Shares of Subsidiaries | 73 | 27 | Against |
| LVMH (MOET HENNESSY - LOUIS VUITTON) SE | 20/04/2023 | Approve Issuance of Debt Securities Giving Access to New Shares of Debt Securities | 80 | 19 | Against |
| DELIVERY HERO SE | 14/06/2023 | Approve Issuance of Warrants/Bonds with Warrants Attached/Convertible Bonds without Preemptive Rights; Approve Creation of EUR 13.3 Million Pool of Conditional Capital 2023/II to Guarantee Conversion Rights | 82 | 17 | Against |
| MERCK KGAA | 28/04/2023 | Issue Bonds/Debt Securities | 88 | 12 | For |
| HERMES INTERNATIONAL | 20/04/2023 | Approve Demerger | 90 | 10 | Against |
| Climate Related Resolutions | | | | | |
| GLENCORE PLC | 26/05/2023 | Approve the Company's 2022 Climate Report. | 68 | 30 | Against |
| Shell plc | 23/05/2023 | Approve Shell's Energy Transition | 77 | 19 | Against |
| UBS GROUP AG | 05/04/2023 | Say on Climate | 81 | 15 | For |
| TOTALENERGIES SE | 26/05/2023 | Say on Climate | 86 | 11 | Against |
| FERROVIAL S.A. | 12/04/2023 | Say on Climate | 91 | 8 | Against |
| Other Company Resolutions | | | | | |
| | | Approve the Board to Issue Equity Warrants Free of Charge During the Period of a Public Offer for | | | |
| BOUYGUES SA | 27/04/2023 | the Company's Shares | 74 | 26 | Against |
| INVESTEC PLC | 03/08/2023 | Investec plc: Approve Political Donations | 76 | 23 | For |
| HSBC HOLDINGS PLC | 05/05/2023 | Meeting Notification-related Proposal | 76 | 23 | For |
| NCC GROUP PLC | 30/11/2023 | Approve Political Donations | 79 | 21 | For |
| ENERGEAN PLC | 18/05/2023 | Meeting Notification-related Proposal | 82 | 18 | For |
| Governance & Other Shareholder Resolutions | | | | | |
| WELLS FARGO & COMPANY | | Simple Majority Voting | 50 | 49 | For |
| SYNOPSYS INC | 12/04/2023 | Right to Call Special Meetings | 50 | 50 | For |
| MCDONALD'S CORPORATION | 25/05/2023 | Annual Report on Lobbying Activities Simple Majority Voting | 50 | 49 | For |
| MARATHON PETROLEUM CORPORATION NEXTERA ENERGY INC | 26/04/2023 18/05/2023 | Simple Majority Voting Board Skills Disclosure | 51 48 | 48 51 | For |
| | | Source Samp State(USU) C | 48 | 21 | For |
| Environmental & Socially Focussed Shareholder Resol | | | | | |
| THE KROGER CO. | | Racial and Gender Pay Gaps | 52 | 48 | For |
| WELLS FARGO & COMPANY | 25/04/2023 | Annual Report on Prevention of Workplace Harassment and Discrimination | 52 | 43 | For |
| QUEST DIAGNOSTICS INCORPORATED | 17/05/2023 | Greenhouse Gas Reduction and Transition Plan | 48 | 52 | For |
| | 18/04/2023 | Pay Equity Disclosure | 47 | 52 | For |
| THE BOEING COMPANY EXPEDITORS INTERNATIONAL OF WASHINGTON INC. | 02/05/2023 | Report on on the effectiveness of the Company's diversity, equity, and inclusion efforts | 57 | 42 | For |

<u>Note</u>

Where an investment manager's voting record has not been provided for each fund, reliance is placed on periodic stock holding information to identify votes relevant to the fund. This means it is possible that some of the votes listed above may relate to companies that were not held within a pooled fund at the date of the vote. Equally, it is possible that there are votes not included above which relate to companies that were held within a fund at the date of the vote.

Methodology for Determining Significant Votes

The methodology used to identify significant votes for this statement uses an objective measure of significance: the extent to which a vote was contested - with the most Significant Votes being those which were most closely contested.

The Trustee believes that this is a good measure of significance because, firstly, a vote is likely to be contentious if it is finely balanced, and secondly, in voting on the Trustee's behalf in a finely balanced vote, an investment manager's action will have more bearing on the outcome.

If the analysis was to rely solely on identifying closely contested votes, there is a chance many votes would be on similar topics which would not help to assess an investment manager's entire voting record. Therefore, the assessment incorporates a thematic approach; splitting votes into nine separate categories and then identifying the most closely contested votes in each of those categories.

A consequence of this approach is that the total number of Significant Votes is large. This is helpful for assessing an investment manager's voting record in detail but it presents a challenge when summarising the Significant Votes in this statement. Therefore, for practical purposes, the table on the previous page only includes summary information on each of the Significant Votes.

The Trustee has not provided the following information which DWP's guidance suggests could be included in an Implementation Statement:

- Approximate size of the Scheme's holding in the company as at the date of the vote.
- If the vote was against management, whether this intention was communicated by the investment manager to the company ahead of the vote.
- An explanation of the rationale for the voting decision, particularly where: there was a
 vote against the board; there were votes against shareholder proposals; a vote was
 withheld; or the vote was not in line with voting policy.
- Next steps, including whether the investment manager intends to escalate stewardship efforts.

The Trustee is satisfied that the approach used ensures that the analysis covers a broad range of themes and that this increases the likelihood of identifying concerns about an investment manager's voting behaviour. The Trustee has concluded that this approach provides a more informative assessment of an investment manager's overall voting approach than would be achieved by analysing a smaller number of votes in greater detail.

Investment Manager Voting Policies

For more information concerning an investment manager's voting policies and rationale, please visit the below links:

LGIM